

United States Patent and Trademark Office

jis J

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,489	01/30/2004	Paul Alan Stephens	1800	6475
27310 75	90 03/24/2005		EXAM	INER
PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL INC.			IBRAHIM, MEDINA AHMED	
7100 N.W. 62N	D AVENUE			
P.O. BOX 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
JOHNSTON, IA 50131			1638	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ali-adia- Na	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
	10/768,489	STEPHENS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Medina A Ibrahim	1638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	<u>January 2004</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a position of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a position of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a position of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a position of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a position of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	awn from consideration. or election requirement.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3) 5)	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-8 are pending and are examined.

Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not commensurate with the scope of the claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to for including blank spaces on page 49. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

At claims 2 and 3, ---, ---- should be inserted before "wherein".

At claim 5. ---produced--- should be inserted after "cells".

At claim 6, "A" should be changed to ---The--- because it refers to a previous claim. Also, ---the--- should be inserted before "cells"; "of the tissue culture" should be deleted; and "obtained" should be changed to ---produced---.

At claim 7, "and" in line 1 should be replaced with ---, ---.

At claim 8, the ": " should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year

Art Unit: 1638

prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Freestone (US 6, 326, 529)

Freestone teaches soybean variety 92B63, seed and plant of said variety, and a method of producing a progeny plant comprising crossing the 92B63 plant with a different soybean plant (column 4, last paragraph). It appears that the claimed plants and seeds of the instant invention may be the same as the 92B63, given that they exhibit similar traits associated with plant morphology such as plant height, purple flower, brown pod, brown hilum, light tawny pubescence, and physiological characteristics such as tolerance to brown stem, susceptibility to phytophthora race 4 and 7, and SDS of 6 (column 8-9; columns 21-22 and claims). The small variations in the % of protein, % oil content, and seed size appears to be environmental influence. Freestone further teaches tissue culture and regeneration of soybean plants, as well as plant parts pollen and ovule (columns 17-18). Hence, the instantly claimed plants are indistinguishable from the prior art 92B63 soybean variety based on the teachings of the specification.

Art Unit: 1638

Alternatively, Freestone teaches soybean variety 92B63, while the instant invention is directed to soybean variety XB19U04, wherein the instant specification fails to describe adequate characteristics of the XB19U04 to distinguish the soybean variety of the prior art.

If the claimed soybean/seed is not identical to 92B63, then it appears that they differ from the 92B63 plants, plant parts, and seeds due to minor morphological variation, wherein said minor morphological variation would be expected to occur upon cultivation of said plants on different soil types with different nutrient supplements and under different environmental growth conditions such as temperature, humidity, light, etc. and wherein said minor morphological variation would not confer a patentable distinction to 92B63.

The Office is not equipped to provide evidence to establish that the prior art variety is essentially different from the instantly claimed variety. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the burden is on the Applicant to provide that the claimed product is different from those of the prior art and to establish patentable differences.

See In re Best 562dF.ed 1252 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and Ex parte Gray 10 USPQ 2d 1922 (PTO Bd.Pat & Int. 1989).

Therefore, the claimed invention is anticipated by or, in the alternative, is obvious over the prior art, absent evidence to the contrary.

Remarks

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1638

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Medina A. Ibrahim whose telephone number is (571) 272-0797. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday -Thursday from 8:00AM to 5:30PM and every other Friday from 9:00AM to 5:00 PM. Before and after final responses should be directed to fax nos. (703) 872-9306 and (703) 872-9307, respectively.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Dr. Amy Nelson, can be reached at (571) 272-0804.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

3/19/05 **M**ai MEDINA A BRAHMA